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In vitro evaluations of antimalarial drugs and their relevance to clinical outcomes
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Abstract

Plasmodium falciparum resistance to the former first-line antimalarials chloroquine and sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine has reached critically high levels in many malaria-endemic regions. This has spurred the introduction of several new artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) that display excellent potency in treating drug-resistant malaria. Monitoring for the emergence of drug resistant P. falciparum is important for maximising the clinically effective lifespan of ACTs. Here, we provide a commentary on the article by Kaddouri et al., published in this issue of the International Journal of Parasitology, which documents the levels of susceptibility to ACT drugs and chloroquine in P. falciparum isolates from Mali. These authors report that some isolates approached a proposed in vitro threshold of resistance to monodesethyl-amodiaquine (the principal effective metabolite of amodiaquine, an important ACT partner drug), and establish baseline levels of susceptibility to the ACT drugs dihydroartemisinin and lumefantrine. The majority of clinical isolates manifested in vitro resistance to chloroquine. The authors also show good concordance between field-based assays employing a non-radioactive lactate dehydrogenase-based method of determining in vitro drug IC50 values and the well-established [3H]hypoxanthine-based radioactive method. This work illustrates a good example of drug resistance surveillance, whose global coordination is being championed by the World Antimalarial Resistance Network. Our current opinion also more generally discusses the complexities inherent to conducting in vitro investigations with P. falciparum patient isolates and correlating these findings with treatment outcome data.
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Malaria inflicts a heavy toll on the health and quality of life of the residents of intertropical countries, affecting one third of the world’s human population (Sachs and Malaney, 2002; Guerra et al., 2008). Communities in Africa bear the brunt of this parasitic, blood-borne disease, with an estimated 90% of all malarial deaths occurring in African children below the age of 5 years (Hay et al., 2005). Efforts to control malaria have repeatedly faltered, in part as a result of the selection and spread of drug resistant Plasmodium falciparum parasites. These parasites have developed at least partial resistance to nearly every antimalarial regimen introduced to date, including the former first-line drugs chloroquine and sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine (Fig. 1) (Wongsrichanalai et al., 2002). In 2005, the World Health Organization (WHO) began recommending the use of artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) as the preferred first-line antimalarials. These pair an extremely potent but short-lived artemisinin derivative (typically artesunate or artemether) with a longer-acting antimalarial such as lumefantrine, amodiaquine or mefloquine. Substantial financial support is being put into place to facilitate their distribution throughout endemic areas (Ashley and White, 2005; Bathurst and Hentschel, 2006; Grabowsky, 2008). While ACTs currently demonstrate excellent clinical efficacy, the history of antimalarial chemotherapy predicts that it is only a matter of time before parasite resistance emerges (Chretien et al., 2007).
The paper by Kaddouri et al. (2008) in this issue of the *International Journal for Parasitology* uses an in vitro approach to assay clinical isolates of *P. falciparum* for their degrees of susceptibility to chloroquine and several ACT component drugs (lumefantrine, dihydroartemisinin and the active monodesethyl metabolite of amodiaquine). This study provides baseline efficacy data that are necessary for subsequent detection of the emergence of resistance to ACT drugs. Reflecting back on chloroquine, it is striking that four decades elapsed between the early reports of emerging clinical resistance and the identification of the primary genetic determinant, *pfcr* (Fidock et al., 2000; Wellems and Plowe, 2001). Only then could a simple PCR-based molecular assay be designed to determine the prevalence of chloroquine-resistant strains of *P. falciparum*, revealing their origins and widespread dissemination throughout nearly all malaria-endemic regions (Djimdé et al., 2001; Wootton et al., 2002). Advances in recent years have now equipped us with powerful genetic and genomic tools (that include allelic exchange and transgene expression, quantitative trait loci analysis, haplotype mapping, microarrays and whole genome sequencing; Ekland and Fidock, 2007), a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms of antimalarial drug resistance (Woodrow and Krishna, 2006), and the capacity to exchange and analyse data as never before. Translating this into more effective management and control of drug-resistant malaria presents an incredible scientific challenge. Meeting this challenge will be further complicated by issues such as the distribution of ineffective or counterfeit drugs, regional differences in implementation programs, and the limited funds available for basic malaria research. In this article, we will discuss some of the issues confronting researchers investigating antimalarial drug resistance in vitro with patient isolates and how the paper by Kaddouri et al. (2008) fits into this context.

A high rate of clinical failure defines the ultimate measure of resistance, however, there are many challenges associated with clinical studies of drug resistance, including costs and logistical difficulties. The ability to detect drug resistant parasites can be obscured by multiple factors including poor patient compliance, patient variability in drug absorption or metabolism, the general health and nutritional state of the patients, the rate at which re-infections are acquired, the genetic complexity of the resistance phenotype, and the degree of pre-existing immunity to plasmodial parasites. As an example of the apparent impact of immunity, a study conducted in Mali in 2001...
estimated the prevalence of the chloroquine resistant PfCRT K76T mutation at 41% of the sampled pre-treatment parasite population, yet clinical treatment failure was observed in only 14% of the patients (Djimdé et al., 2001; all of these treatment failures harbouring P. falciparum infections carrying the PfCRT K76T mutation). Age stratification of treatment outcomes revealed striking differences. Comparing children <10 with those ≥10 years of age, in vivo failure rates with parasites bearing the mutant 76T allele were 68% and 35%, respectively (Djimdé et al., 2001). The authors attributed these differences to the acquisition of immunity to malaria in the older patients. These types of confounding factors can make it challenging for clinical efficacy studies to detect the emergence of drug resistant parasites early on, when these are present at low levels in the population.

Studies that can detect genetic markers of drug resistance provide an attractive method for monitoring the prevalence and spread of resistant parasites, as they are relatively fast, quantitative and inexpensive compared with clinical studies involving more patient care and follow-up. In a recent application, researchers documented that the PfCRT K76T marker for chloroquine resistance disappeared in the years after Malawi enforced a change of first-line antimalarial treatment from chloroquine to sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine (KUBLIN et al., 2003; Mita et al., 2003). A subsequent clinical study concurred that chloroquine has since regained its efficacy in Malawi (Laufer et al., 2006). Unfortunately, these molecular methods can only be used to track established resistance markers. Using heterologous systems or rodent malaria models, several groups are striving to identify genes that could potentially mediate artemisinin resistance (Uhlemann et al., 2005; Afonso et al., 2006; Krishna et al., 2006; Hunt et al., 2007). However, in the absence of clear clinical failures it is still too early to identify which genetic markers will accurately predict unsuccessful ACT treatment outcomes.

Culturing clinical isolates and measuring their susceptibility to antimalarial compounds in vitro removes variables such as patient compliance, nutritional and immune status, re-infection, and pharmacokinetics, thereby providing a powerful technique for detecting the emergence of drug resistant parasites. In vitro studies have the additional benefit that cultured isolates can potentially be frozen down for use in future genotyping or drug susceptibility assays. Notably, small shifts in 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) values can be sufficient to affect clinical outcomes for many of the current antimalarials. As an example, Price et al. (2004) observed that Thai patients harbouring P. falciparum infections with an increased copy number of pfmdr1 had a fivefold increased risk of mefloquine treatment failure by day 28, with a threefold increase in mefloquine IC50 values observed in vitro, compared with infections carrying single copy pfmdr1 alleles. Subtler shifts in IC50 values might indicate genetic changes that confer a degree of parasite tolerance to drug and might on occasion foretell the appearance of bone fide clinical resistance.

It is also important to note some of the caveats of in vitro assays. Not all of the primary parasites will adapt to in vitro culture conditions and hence these conditions may themselves impose certain biases in terms of the parasite genotypes that will propagate and yield IC50 data. Other factors that can affect in vitro assays and IC50 measurements include the presence of mixed genotypes in patient isolates (especially in high transmission settings in Africa), sample handling, the assay chosen for quantifying growth and even the particular growth conditions used, such as the gas mixture conditions, culture duration and culture medium (Bacon et al., 2007). As an example of the latter, variable concentrations of folate or para-aminobenzoic acid in the medium can substantially influence antifolate IC50 values recorded in vitro (Wang et al., 1997). Finally, while an in vitro assay may detect a shift in IC50 values, there are no clear criteria for establishing IC50 thresholds of resistance in the absence of clinical failure (Ringwald and Basco, 1999). Even for drugs that encounter clinical failure, identifying in vitro IC50 values that can predict in vivo resistance has proven exceptionally difficult. One relevant example is chloroquine, for which the IC50 value of 100 nM has been commonly cited as a threshold of in vitro resistance (Ringwald and Basco, 1999; Reed et al., 2000; Legrand et al., 2008). This value was selected following comparisons of chloroquine IC50 values of 11 geographically distinct culture-adapted isolates, without in vivo correlates (Cremer et al., 1995). In a separate study, Brasseur and colleagues set the in vitro threshold at 80 nM, based on in vitro assays of isolates from patients with known clinical outcomes (Brasseur et al., 1992).

Establishing a clinically relevant IC50 value, which represents a threshold above which the risk of clinical treatment failure rises substantially, would ideally require detailed pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic studies in humans and comparison of these data with ex vivo values obtained with patient isolates, as well as a sufficient number of treatment failures to compare against cases of successful treatment outcomes. The scarcity of such studies reflects the difficulty in approaching this question. Some of the confounding parameters include differences in patient immune status and drug metabolism, initial biomass of the infection (i.e. parasitemia), sampling limitations, mixed infections and difficulties in defining clinical cure rates (Price et al., 2007). Another complication pertains to sampling bias. Generating in vitro drug susceptibility data is dependent on certain prerequisites including venipuncture, which biases selection towards adults, and high parasitemias. These restrictions potentially bias the representation of parasites in the in vitro analysis compared with the complete in vivo data set.

One example of a study that has surmounted these difficulties was recently published by Price et al. (2006), who identified a lumefantrine plasma concentration of 175 ng/ml, measured 7 days after initiation of treatment, as a threshold for increased risk of parasite recrudescence. This
pharmacokinetic parameter showed good sensitivity and specificity (75% and 84%, respectively) when predicting treatment failure. Interestingly, an increase in \textit{pfmdr1} copy number, which was also associated with an increased risk of treatment failure in four-dose treatment regimens, only increased the mean IC\textsubscript{50} value from an average of \sim17 ng/ml in parasites harbouring one copy of \textit{pfmdr1} to \sim34 ng/ml in parasites with two or more \textit{pfmdr1} copies (values that are noticeably lower than the lumefantrine plasma levels achieved at the day seven time point following initiation of treatment). One conclusion is that in vitro thresholds should not be seen as absolute values or predictors of a particular treatment outcome, but rather as indicators that a parasite laboratory line or field isolate has decreased its degree of in vitro susceptibility, which in turn is likely to increase the likelihood of clinical resistance or recrudescence.

In an effort to coordinate global monitoring efforts, a consortium of researchers has established the World Antimalarial Resistance Network (WARN). The goals of WARN include establishing a centralised databank, defining global baseline sensitivities of parasites to antimalarials, and standardising methods in order to make data conducted by different groups more comparable (Sibley et al., 2008). The study by Kaddouri et al. (2008) is commendable in its treatment of these issues. In measuring the in vitro susceptibility of primary \textit{P. falciparum} isolates to ACT partner drugs, the authors established an important baseline reference point for future studies looking for the emergence of resistance in Mali. Their analysis of 96 Malian isolates (51 tested in Mali and 45 collected from French travelers returning from Mali to Paris) revealed no evidence of in vitro resistance to dihydroartemisinin or amodiaquine, and standardising methods in order to make data conducted by different groups more comparable (Sibley et al., 2008). The study by Kaddouri et al. (2008) is commendable in its treatment of these issues. In measuring the in vitro susceptibility of primary \textit{P. falciparum} isolates to ACT partner drugs, the authors established an important baseline reference point for future studies looking for the emergence of resistance in Mali. Their analysis of 96 Malian isolates (51 tested in Mali and 45 collected from French travelers returning from Mali to Paris) revealed no evidence of in vitro resistance to dihydroartemisinin or lumefantrine, using proposed thresholds of 10 and 150 nM, respectively. The authors also report that some isolates had monodesethyl-amodiaquine IC\textsubscript{50} values that nearly attained their proposed in vitro resistance cut-off of 80 nM. It is important to note, however, that these proposed thresholds have not been validated in terms of their ability to predict in vitro resistance or in vivo failure. Using an in vitro threshold of 100 nM for chloroquine, the authors observed resistance in 60–69% of isolates, and found good concordance with the presence of the \textit{PICRT K76T} mutation. These data also showed a continuum of IC\textsubscript{50} values for each drug. Multiple factors could contribute to this – physiological differences between isolates that alter their basal level of susceptibility, single versus mixed infections, differences in rates of growth of non-adapted isolates under in vitro conditions, experimental variability between wells, and the degree of genetic complexity of the resistance phenotype. This variability contributes to the difficulty of establishing in vitro thresholds and calls for caution when interpreting values obtained from single assays performed with non-adapted isolates. Importantly, the authors used two culture-adapted strains, 3D7 and W2, obtained from MR4-ATCC (www_mr4_malaria.org) as reference strains. Comparisons to these reference strains should assist other researchers when comparing their own data to these results.

Kaddouri et al. (2008) also compared results from an ELISA-based drug susceptibility assay, based on the detection of \textit{Plasmodium} lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH) and performed by researchers in Mali, with results from the well-established \textsuperscript{3}H hypoxanthine assay performed in Paris. Both sites used the same batches of plates containing predosed, lyophilised concentrations of drug. This minimised the contribution of plates and drugs to experimental variability between the two-site comparisons, while highlighting differences between drugs in their degree of stability post-lyophilization. While the absolute IC\textsubscript{50} values differed slightly between sites, the global values showed parallel trends. These results confirmed the utility of the non-radioactive pLDH assay for use in field settings.

Recent years have seen a dramatic change in antimalarial policy worldwide as ACTs become the prevailing first-line therapies. In addition to the most widely used current ACTs artemether–lumefantrine, artesunate–amodiaquine and artesunate–mefloquine, additional combinations are undergoing clinical evaluation including artesunate–pyronaridin and dihydroartemisinin–piperaquine. Their pharmacokinetic mismatching of a short-lived artemisinin with a longer-lived partner drug exposes the latter to a substantial risk of resistance. Thus, it will be critical to identify early signs of resistance before these strains become prevalent and compromise the clinical utility of the ACTs. The possibility that such a situation might arise is evidenced by recent reports from the Thai-Cambodian border where increased IC\textsubscript{50} values with artemisinin derivatives and delayed times to parasite clearance are being noted (Noedl, personal communication). Maximising the efficacy and longevity of ACTs as a tool to treat and control malaria will critically depend on pursuing intensive research into identifying in vitro markers of resistance as well as implementing the types of in vitro surveillance programs described in the paper by Kaddouri et al. (2008).
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